Equality Impact Assessment [version 2.9]



Title: Block contracts for Temporary Accommodation		
☐ Policy ☒ Strategy ☐ Function ☒ Service	⊠ New	
☐ Other [please state]	\square Already exists / review \square Changing	
Directorate: Growth and Regeneration	Lead Officer name: Paul Sylvester	
Service Area: Homelessness Prevention	Lead Officer role: Head of Housing Options	
Step 1: What do we want to do?		
The purpose of an Equality Impact Assessment is to assist decisi as part of their duties under the Equality Act 2010. Detailed guid Equality Impact Assessments (EqIA) (sharepoint.com).		
This assessment should be started at the beginning of the process by someone with a good knowledge of the proposal and service area, and sufficient influence over the proposal. It is good practice to take a team approach to completing the equality impact assessment. Please contact the Equality and Inclusion Team early for advice and feedback.		
1.1 What are the aims and objectives/purpose of the	nis proposal?	
Briefly explain the purpose of the proposal and why it is needed. Describe who it is aimed at and the intended aims / outcomes. Where known also summarise the key actions you plan to undertake. Please use <u>plain English</u> , avoiding jargon and acronyms. Equality Impact Assessments are viewed by a wide range of people including decision-makers and the wider public.		
To meet the increased demand for temporary accommodation during the Covid recovery and reduce cost we are procuring 100 units of fixed price accommodation and 150 units of shared singles accommodation for one year with an option to extend for an additional 12 months. The accommodation is to be procured from the existing Emergency Accommodation Framework. This procurement reflects a strategic change in the profile of placements into Temporary Accommodation to move away from a dependency on high-cost nightly rate spot purchased accommodation to lower cost fixed price provision.		
1.2 Who will the proposal have the potential to affect?		
☐ Bristol City Council workforce ☐ Service users	☐ The wider community	
☐ Commissioned services ☐ City partners /	Stakeholder organisations	
Additional comments:		
1.3 Will the proposal have an equality impact? Could the proposal affect access levels of representation or participation in a service, or does it have the potential to change e.g. quality of life: health, education, or standard of living etc.?		
If 'No' explain why you are sure there will be no equality impact, then skip steps 2-4 and request review by Equality and Inclusion Team.		
If 'Yes' complete the rest of this assessment, or if you plan to complete the assessment at a later stage please state this clearly here and request review by the Equality and Inclusion Team.		

As we enter a period of recovery from COVID this procurement will help the city respond to the impact of the pandemic and mitigate the increased demand for temporary accommodation placed current supply particularly by those groups who are currently experiencing or at risk of homelessness in the city.

Step 2: What information do we have?

2.1 What data or evidence is there which tells us who is, or could be affected?

Please use this section to demonstrate an understanding of who could be affected by the proposal. Include general population data where appropriate, and information about people who will be affected with particular reference to protected and other relevant characteristics: https://www.bristol.gov.uk/people-communities/measuring-equalities-success.

Use one row for each evidence source and say which characteristic(s) it relates to. You can include a mix of qualitative and quantitative data e.g. from national or local research, available data or previous consultations and engagement activities.

Outline whether there is any over or under representation of equality groups within relevant services - don't forget to benchmark to the local population where appropriate. Links to available data and reports are here <u>Data, statistics</u> and intelligence (sharepoint.com). See also: <u>Bristol Open Data (Quality of Life, Census etc.)</u>; <u>Joint Strategic Needs</u> Assessment (JSNA); Ward Statistical Profiles.

For workforce / management of change proposals you will need to look at the diversity of the affected teams using available evidence such as <u>HR Analytics: Power BI Reports (sharepoint.com)</u> which shows the diversity profile of council teams and service areas. Identify any over or under-representation compared with Bristol economically active citizens for different characteristics. Additional sources of useful workforce evidence include the <u>Employee Staff Survey Report</u> and <u>Stress Risk Assessment Form</u>

Data / Evidence Source	Summary of what this tells us
[Include a reference where known]	
Housing Support Register	Case specific database for at risk and vulnerable
	citywide Homelessness prevention placements
Abritas	Case specific database for citywide Homelessness
	Prevention Service to capture those assessed under
	the Homelessness Reduction Act - linked to gov.uk H-
	CLIC
Joint Strategic Needs Assessment	Citywide quarterly data, population, housing, health
National Statistics (Department of Levelling up Housing	National Homelessness Data from quarterly returns by
& Communities)	local government through H-CLIC returns
Homelessness Trends	Quarterly report on citywide homeless trends
Rough Sleeping snapshop	Citywide monthly and annual street count reported to
	gov.uk

Additional comments:

Statutory homeless <u>statistics</u> capture data on everyone who has been assessed under the Homelessness Reduction Act. This is easily one of the best sources of homelessness statistics in the world.

The latest national statistics (2020-21) indicate that homelessness has disproportionately affected certain communities, with single households, young people, and people of colour (especially Black/Black British people) who have seen the greatest increases.

National statistics show 84.9% of the overall population is White British, compared to 69.6% of people experiencing or at risk of homelessness. Black/Black British is the most overrepresented ethnic group comprising 9.7% of those owed a homelessness duty. In Bristol these national figures are broadly replicated with 16% of the population who are Black, Asian and minority ethnicity, compared to 30-40% of homeless acceptances between 2012 and 2018.

2.2 Do you currently monitor relevant activity by the following protected characteristics?

⊠ Age	□ Disability	□ Gender Reassignment
	□ Pregnancy/Maternity	⊠ Race
□ Religion or Belief	⊠ Sex	

2.3 Are there any gaps in the evidence base?

Where there are gaps in the evidence, or you don't have enough information about some equality groups, include an equality action to find out in section 4.2 below. This doesn't mean that you can't complete the assessment without the information, but you need to follow up the action and if necessary, review the assessment later. If you are unable to fill in the gaps, then state this clearly with a justification.

For workforce related proposals all relevant characteristics may not be included in HR diversity reporting (e.g. pregnancy/maternity). For smaller teams diversity data may be redacted. A high proportion of not known/not disclosed may require an action to address under-reporting.

Data collected for the homelessness review indicates that there are gaps in existing ethnicity data, with ethnicity not always stated or recorded. From what data there is, indicates that Black, Asian and minority ethnic homelessness applicants are over-represented compared to their relative proportion in the Bristol Community as a whole.

We also know that there are gaps in our data relating to sexual orientation. We know that there are higher than average numbers of women and non-EU nationals represented in the Bristol rough sleeping population, but we do not currently know enough about the reasons why.

In general, we acknowledge that there are gaps in our knowledge about the future demands on homelessness services as it affects a range of equalities groups and will be looking to improve the range of equalities data we gather, both as a local authority and through the homelessness services we commission.

2.4 How have you involved communities and groups that could be affected?

You will nearly always need to involve and consult with internal and external stakeholders during your assessment. The extent of the engagement will depend on the nature of the proposal or change. This should usually include individuals and groups representing different relevant protected characteristics. Please include details of any completed engagement and consultation and how representative this had been of Bristol's diverse communities. See https://www.bristol.gov.uk/people-communities/equalities-groups.

Include the main findings of any engagement and consultation in Section 2.1 above.

If you are managing a workforce change process or restructure please refer to <u>Managing change or restructure</u> (<u>sharepoint.com</u>) for advice on consulting with employees etc. Relevant stakeholders for engagement about workforce changes may include e.g. staff-led groups and trades unions as well as affected staff.

The <u>Homelessness & Rough Sleeping Strategy 2019-24</u>, which was informed by a full public consultation with external stakeholders and service users etc., underwrites the provision of statutory homelessness prevention services in the city. Services that include the supply of temporary accommodation. This strategy applies multiagency governance that includes stakeholders and those with lived experience of homelessness.

2.5 How will engagement with stakeholders continue?

Explain how you will continue to engage with stakeholders throughout the course of planning and delivery. Please describe where more engagement and consultation is required and set out how you intend to undertake it. Include any targeted work to seek the views of under-represented groups. If you do not intend to undertake it, please set out your justification. You can ask the Equality and Inclusion Team for help in targeting particular groups.

- A market engagement event with existing suppliers
- Online Q&A session with all suppliers ahead of tender submissions.
- Compliance inspection of all units supplied to the framework .
- Regular weekly updates with accredited suppliers, spot checks on accommodation to ensure standards.

- Monthly engagement meetings with all suppliers awarded this contract
- Regular Feedback reports from placements on TA

Step 3: Who might the proposal impact?

Analysis of impacts must be rigorous. Please demonstrate your analysis of any impacts of the proposal in this section, referring to evidence you have gathered above and the characteristics protected by the Equality Act 2010. Also include details of existing issues for particular groups that you are aware of and are seeking to address or mitigate through this proposal. See detailed guidance documents for advice on identifying potential impacts etc. Equality Impact Assessments (EgIA) (sharepoint.com)

3.1 Does the proposal have any potentially adverse impacts on people based on their protected or other relevant characteristics?

Consider sub-categories (different kinds of disability, ethnic background etc.) and how people with combined characteristics (e.g. young women) might have particular needs or experience particular kinds of disadvantage.

Where mitigations indicate a follow-on action, include this in the 'Action Plan' Section 4.2 below.

GENERAL COMMENTS (highlight any potential issues that might impact all or many groups)	
	The provision of shared singles temporary accommodation in this block presents a greater risk of adverse impacts	
The state of the s	characteristics than those placements in self-contained households.	
PROTECTED CHARACTER	ISTICS	
Age: Young People	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes $oxtimes$ No $oxtimes$	
Potential impacts:	The experience of the shared accommodation environment might negatively impact on	
	some placements. Some young people may feel isolated or cut off from networks	
	and/or insecure or unsafe or be more likely to be victims of abuse or crime.	
	Younger people may be vulnerable to becoming engaged with criminal or	
	antisocial activity (either voluntarily or by coercion/intimidation) if this occurs.	
Mitigations:	We include suitability for shared accommodation in triage and risk assessment.	
	Where possible young people will be accommodated in specialist YP accommodation.	
	We will liaise with providers to allocate YP only shared accommodation as appropriate.	
Age: Older People	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes ☐ No ☒	
Potential impacts:		
Mitigations:		
Disability	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes ⊠ No □	
Potential impacts:	The range of accommodation may not be sufficiently accessible for e.g.	
	wheelchair users or have limited access for people with mobility issues.	
Mitigations:	We will aim to ensure that a suitable proportion of properties in the block purchase	
	meet accessibility requirement e.g. level access. We will ensure property details are	
	accurate and work with suppliers on detail to ensure placements are suitable. People	
	with other disability such as sight loss are likely to be accommodated via other	
	mechanisms.	
Sex	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes ⊠ No □	
Potential impacts:	Mixed gender households may not be suitable for some placements, and we need to	
A A'll's a l'assa	ensure we are providing safe and appropriate accommodation for women.	
Mitigations:	We plan to offer an apportioned number of single gender shared households	
Sexual orientation	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes ⊠ No □	
Potential impacts:	Lack of diversity in the locality, or within shared properties may mean increased	
NA:tipations	likelihood of discrimination and hate incidents.	
Mitigations:	We have a collaborative relationship with providers to select and place people in	
	appropriate accommodation across the city. Providers are required to have robust policies to tackle discrimination, harassment, victimisations and hate incidents.	
Pregnancy / Maternity		
riegilality / Waterilly	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes ⊠ No □	

Potential impacts:	Placement away from support networks
Mitigations:	
Gender reassignment	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes ☐ No ☒
Potential impacts:	Lack of diversity in the locality, or within shared properties may mean increased likelihood of discrimination and hate incidents.
Mitigations:	We have a collaborative relationship with providers to select and place people in appropriate accommodation across the city. Providers are required to have robust policies to tackle discrimination, harassment, victimisations and hate incidents.
Race	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes $oxtimes$ No $oxtimes$
Potential impacts:	Lack of diversity in the locality, or within shared properties may mean increased likelihood of discrimination and hate incidents, or do not have their cultural needs met
Mitigations:	We have a collaborative relationship with providers to select and place people in appropriate accommodation across the city. Providers are required to have robust policies to tackle discrimination, harassment, victimisations and hate incidents.
Religion or Belief	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes $oxtimes$ No $oxtimes$
Potential impacts:	Lack of diversity in the locality, or within shared properties may mean increased likelihood of discrimination and hate incidents, or do not have their cultural needs met
Mitigations:	We have a collaborative relationship with providers to select and place people in appropriate accommodation across the city — e.g. nearer to places of workshop. Providers are required to have robust policies to tackle discrimination, harassment, victimisations and hate incidents.
Marriage & civil partnership	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes □ No ☒
Potential impacts:	
Mitigations: OTHER RELEVANT CHARA	ACTEDISTICS
Socio-Economic	
(deprivation)	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes $oxtimes$ No $oxtimes$
Potential impacts:	Isolation, if placement is not near to work, schools, support networks and transport
Mitigations:	Careful consideration at placement
Carers	Does your analysis indicate a disproportionate impact? Yes $oxtimes$ No $oxtimes$
Potential impacts:	Placement away from carer
Mitigations:	Careful consideration of location and access to carer network for placement
	additional rows below to detail the impact for other relevant groups as appropriate e.g. boked after Children / Care Leavers; Homelessness]
Potential impacts:	Location of asylum seekers and refugee placements away from support networks
Mitigations:	Careful consideration and discussion with relevant support providers about support networks ahead of placement.

3.2 Does the proposal create any benefits for people based on their protected or other relevant characteristics?

Outline any potential benefits of the proposal and how they can be maximised. Identify how the proposal will support our <u>Public Sector Equality Duty</u> to:

- ✓ Eliminate unlawful discrimination for a protected group
- ✓ Advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and those who don't
- ✓ Foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who don't

The proposal potentially increases supply and choice of temporary accommodation which will indirectly benefit people based on their protected characteristics and certainly mitigates the additional risk of street homelessness for these groups

Step 4: Impact

4.1 How has the equality impact assessment informed or changed the proposal?

What are the main conclusions of this assessment? Use this section to provide an overview of your findings. This summary can be included in decision pathway reports etc.

If you have identified any significant negative impacts which cannot be mitigated, provide a justification showing how the proposal is proportionate, necessary, and appropriate despite this.

Summary of significant negative impacts and how they can be mitigated or justified:	
Poor placement creating local community imbalance	
Summary of positive impacts / opportunities to promote the Public Sector Equality Duty:	
Supply of good quality temporary accommodation to support the homelessness prevention service in the city	

4.2 Action Plan

Use this section to set out any actions you have identified to improve data, mitigate issues, or maximise opportunities etc. If an action is to meet the needs of a particular protected group please specify this.

Improvement / action required	Responsible Officer	Timescale
Through a close and collaborative relationship - ensure all suppliers offering accommodation to these blocks provide quality accommodation in the right place to meet the needs of the service and the placements made	Graham Jones	Ongoing

4.3 How will the impact of your proposal and actions be measured?

How will you know if you have been successful? Once the activity has been implemented this equality impact assessment should be periodically reviewed to make sure your changes have been effective your approach is still appropriate.

High rates of occupancy, low rates of void empties and successful move on to more sustainable accommodation for those placed.

Step 5: Review

The Equality and Inclusion Team need at least five working days to comment and feedback on your EqIA. EqIAs should only be marked as reviewed when they provide sufficient information for decision-makers on the equalities impact of the proposal. Please seek feedback and review from the <u>Equality and Inclusion Team</u> before requesting sign off from your Director¹.

Reviewed by Equality and Inclusion Team	Equality and Inclusion Team Review:	Director Sign-Off: Donald Graham - Director Housing and Landlord Services
	Reviewed by Equality and Inclusion Team	
(Corable)		Const.
Date: 3/11/2021 Date: 02/12/2021	Date: 3/11/2021	Date: 02/12/2021

¹ Review by the Equality and Inclusion Team confirms there is sufficient analysis for decision makers to consider the likely equality impacts at this stage. This is not an endorsement or approval of the proposal.